

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

6 * * *

7 JACQUELINE STEINMETZ,

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 AMERICA HONDA FINANCE, *et al.*,

11 Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-00067-APG-GWF


ORDER

12 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Sur-reply (ECF Nos.
13 67, 68), filed on April 29, 2019.

14 Plaintiff requests leave to file a five page sur-reply to address new evidence introduced by
15 Defendant Experience Information Solutions, Inc. in its reply in support of its motion to stay and
16 for a protective order. ECF Nos. 65, 66. A party is permitted to file a sur-reply only by leave of
17 court and motions for leave to file a sur-reply are discouraged. *See* LR 7-2(b). The Court may
18 grant leave to file a sur-reply if new matters are raised for the first time in the reply to which a
19 party would otherwise be unable to respond. *See United States v. Ormat Indus., Ltd*, 2016 WL
20 1298119, at *6 (D. Nev. Apr. 1, 2016). The Court finds good cause to grant Plaintiff's request.
21 Accordingly,

22 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Motions for Leave to File Sur-reply (ECF
23 Nos. 67, 68) are **granted**.

24 Dated this 1st day of May, 2019.

25
26 
27 GEORGE FOLEY, JR.
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE